arXiv:1304.2827v1 [math.SG] 10 Apr 2013

AN ASYMPTOTIC COMPLEXITY ON HAMILTONIAN DEFORMATIONS AND DISCRETE GROUPS ACTING ON TREES

TSUYOSHI KATO

Introduction

Let Γ be a group acting on a tree T. Study of the structure is a highly developed subject in group theory, represented by the Bass-Serre theory [Se] and *theory of automata groups*. Automata groups are finitely generated and constructed from Mealy automata, which act on rooted trees. It has turned out that automata groups contain rich class of finitely generated groups, where the particular instances are given by the intermediate growth groups which gave a counter example to the Milnor's conjecture [Gri2], the finitely generated infinite torsion groups which solved the Burnside problem [Al,Gri1], and the finitely generated groups with non-uniform growth functions [W].

Let us consider $\mathbf{CP}^{\infty}$ with the homogeneous coordinate $[z_0, z_1, \dots]$. Since exchange of the coordinates such as z_i by z_j preserve the Hodge structure on $\mathbf{CP}^{\infty}$, there is a canonical way, unique up to conjugacy to regard a group action Γ on a tree T as subgroups of the automorphism group:

$$\Gamma \subset \text{ Aut } \mathbf{CP}^{\infty}.$$

More precisely let us assign indices on the set of vertices of the tree by the non negative integers. Then z_i can be regarded as the coordinates over i-th vertices of the tree, and the action of the group induces the one on the set of the coordinates. Two different ways of assignment correspond to the conjugate actions.

In this paper we study Hamiltonian deformation theory of finitely generated groups acting on trees, which aims at interplay between group theory and symplectic geometry. Let $F : \mathbf{CP}^{\infty} \cong \mathbf{CP}^{\infty}$ be a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, and consider deformation of $g \in \Gamma$ by:

$$g' = F(g) : \mathbf{CP}^{\infty} \cong \mathbf{CP}^{\infty}.$$

As a first step of study of analytic deformation of discrete groups, in this paper we study asymptotic growth of orders of group elements, which are related to the torsion property.

In the case of an automata group Γ, it admits a canonical generating set by states $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ so that one obtains the group deformation $\Gamma' = \text{ gen } \{F(s_1), \dots, F(s_l)\}$ of Γ. Automata groups with small states have been classified [GZ, GNS], where many finite groups appear in the class. One may ask whether there could exist Hamiltonian deformation of groups which preserves finiteness, or more interestingly which produces infinite torsion groups. An immediate answer for the former is given just by the rotations of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K05 (primary), 20E08, 53D35 (secondary).

Hamiltonian deformation. In this paper we construct a class of Hamiltonian deformations which possess rather opposite property as we describe below.

Let g be an automorphism acting on a tree T. Let us denote by:

$$\mathfrak{G}(m)$$

the set of the isomorphism classes of the pairs (g,T) such that there are m vertices in T which are fixed by g. Notice that any group actions on rooted trees are in the class $\mathfrak{G}(1)$. For m, there are many initial automata groups whose elements are all in $\mathfrak{G}(m)$.

Let us say that a group element g has order $l \geq 0$, if g^l is the identity. For convenience we regard that any group elements have order 0.

As a test case let us take a C^2-proper bounded Hamiltonian function $f : \mathbb{C}^\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ which vanishes on some open neigbourhood of 0, and attains maximum at infinity so that diameter of Supp df is finite. Let us extend it as $f : \mathbf{CP}^\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ by use of the embedding $(z_1, z_2, \dots) \to [1, z_1, z_2, \dots]$.

Theorem 0.1. *Let F be the non trivial Hamiltonian diffeomorphism induced from f as above. Then there exists $\{l(m)\}_{m=0,1,\dots} \subset \{0,1,2,\dots\}$ such that for any $(g,T) \in \mathfrak{G}(l(m))$, the deformed element $F(g)$ have order larger than m for some embedding $g \in$ Aut $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$ as above.*

In particular F is infinite cyclic.

Notice that as we have seen, not all the bounded Hamiltonians satisfy this property.

In this paper we induce the above property for a larger class of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms over infinte dimensional Kaehler manifolds. We describe such class in a general setting below. Proof of theorem 0.1 relies on the mechanism of theory of holomorphic curves over infinite dimensional spaces. Let us introduce a class of the infinite dimensional spaces denoted as $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ which we call *almost Kaehler sequences*, consisted by infinite embeddings by almost Kaehler manifolds; $\dots \subset (M_i, \omega_i, J_i) \subset (M_{i+1}, \omega_{i+1}, J_{i+1}) \subset \dots$. Let us denote the union by $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$, and say that an almost Kaehler sequence is simply connected, if $\pi_1(M_i) = 1$ hold for all i.

Let us introduce the following:

Definition 0.1. *(1) An automorphism $g : (M, \omega, J) \cong (M, \omega, J)$ has* depth m*, if the restriction on M_m is the identity.*

(2) A diffeomorphism $F : M \cong M$ is uniformly infinite cyclic, if there exists a family $\{l(m)\}_m$ such that for any other automorphism g of depth $l(m)$, the order of the composition $F(g)$ is larger than m.

Notice that F must be infinite cyclic.

Example 0.1*:* Let X be a space and take a family of automorphisms $g_i : X \cong X$ of order i, which all fix a point $x_0 \in X$. Let us denote by $X_i = X \times \dots \times X$ the product spaces by X with i times. We embed $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$ as $X_i \times \{x_0\} \subset X_{i+1}$, and denote $X_\infty = \cup_i X_i$. $F = (g_0, g_1, \dots)$ acts on X_∞ as an automorphism.

It is uniformly infinite cyclic. Moreover $F(h_i)$ has finite order for some $h_i : (X_\infty, X_i) \cong (X_\infty, X_i)$ which preserves X_i for each i.

Let us introduce classes of Hamiltonian functions on $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$:

(1) A bounded Hamiltonian function $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ is pre-admissible, if there are open neighbourhoods $N_0, N_\infty \subset M$ of $p_0, p_\infty \in M_0$ respectively so that $f|N_0 \equiv 0$ and $f|N_\infty \equiv \sup f$ hold.

(2) f is *proper*, if for any i, there is j so that $(df)_m \in T^*_m M_j$ hold on some neighborhood of M_i in M_j.

f is C^i-proper, if in addition the following holds:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} ||f - f_k||C^i(M_k) = 0$$

where $f_k \equiv f|M_k$ are the restrictions.

Notice that $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||(F|M_k) - F_k||C^{i-1}(M_k) = 0$ also holds, where $F_k : M_k \cong M_k$ are the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with respect to the restrictions f_k.

(3) f is *i-connected*, if there is an open and connected subset $p_0 \in U \subset M$ which contains Supp $df \subset U$ such that:

$$\pi_l(U \cap M_k) = 0 \quad (l \leq i)$$

hold for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, where Supp df is the closure of $\{m \in M : df(m) \neq 0\}$.

Example 0.2*:* Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ and $D^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be the unit disks with $D = \cup_{k \geq 0} D^k$, and consider the function $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ given by:

$$f(x_0, x_1, \ldots) = \Sigma_{k=0}^\infty \; x_k x_{k+1}.$$

f is not C^i-proper. Let $e_k = (0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots)$ where 1 appear only at the k-th coordinates. Then $df_{e_k} = dx_{k-1} + dx_{k+1}$ and $d(f|D^k)_{e_k} = dx_{k-1}$.

f is not even proper though $df|D^k \subset TD^{k+1}$ hold. Let $\rho_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions with some $\epsilon_k > 0$ such that $\rho_k(a) \equiv 0$ for $|a| \leq \epsilon_k$ and $\rho_k(a) = a$ for $|a| \geq 2\epsilon_k$. Then h below is C^i-proper for $i \geq 0$:

$$h(x_0, x_1, \ldots) = \Sigma_{k=0}^\infty \; x_k \rho_k(x_{k+1}).$$

For our study on uniformly infinite cyclicity of Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, we use theory of moduli space of holomorphic curves $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ into $M = \cup_i M_i$, which admits the induced S^1-free action.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be a simply connected almost Kaehler sequence with finite diameter of M.*

Assume that $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is non empty, regular, compact and S^1-freely cobordant to non zero with respect to a minimal class in $\pi_2(M)$.

Let $F : M \cong M$ be the non trivial Hamiltonian diffeomorphism induced from a bounded Hamiltonian function f which is pre-admissible, C^2-proper and 2-connected.

Then F is uniformly infinite cyclic.

So the compositions $F \circ g_i$ must have large order for any family $g_i : (M, M_i) \cong (M, M_i)$ and large i. But we would like to propose a stronger statement:

Conjecture 0.1*.* For all sufficiently large i, $F \circ g_i$ are infinite cyclic for any $g_i : (M, M_i) \cong (M, M_i)$.

In [K4], we found that the moduli spaces of holomorphic curves behave quite nicely under the conditions on M such as (1) integrability (2) symmetry (3) isotropy (4) quasi-transitivity. Many of the infinite homogeneous spaces are equipped with such structures. In particular the infinite projective space satisfies the assumptions in theorem 0.2, from which theorem 0.1 follows.

Theorem 0.3 (K4). *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be a symmetric Kaehler sequence.*

(1) Suppose it is regular and $\dim \cup_i$ Ker $D_u \bar{\partial}_i = N$ is finite for any $u \in \mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)])$, then it is in fact strongly regular of index N.

In particluar $\mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)])$ is a regular N dimensional S^1 free manifold.

(2) Assume moreover it is isotropic. If each connected component of $\mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)])$ is bounded, which is always the case for $N = 1$, then the equality holds:

$$\mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]) = \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0).$$

In particular if its homotopy class is minimal, then $\mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)])$ is compact.

(3) $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$ with the Fubini-Study form satisfies (1) and (2) above with $N = 1$. Moreover the moduli space is homeomorphic to S^1, and hence is S^1-freely cobordant to non zero.

We have also verified in [K4] that strongly regularity is preserved under small perturbations of structure of almost Kaehler sequences if it is quasi transitive. As a consequence the moduli spaces of holomorphic curves are regularly homeomorphic mutually.

Let us introduce a kind of the extension, which a priori contains the moduli space of holomorphic curves (see the notations in $3.B$). Let $u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$, and $U(u)$ be an open neighbourhood of u in the set $\mathfrak{B}$ of L^2_{l+1} maps from S^2 to $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$. Locally any element in $U(u)$ is modelled on maps from $\mathbb{R}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2\infty}$ passing through local charts. By use of the embedding into Hilbert space $\mathbb{R}^{2\infty} \subset H$, let us take its completion to a Hilbert manifold $\hat{U}(u)$. Then let us put:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{M}}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] = \cup_{u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]} \ \{ v \in \hat{U}(u) : \bar{\partial}_J(v) = 0 \}.$$

If $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] \backslash \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ could be non empty, then one can find some sequence $u_k \in \mathfrak{B} = \cup_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{B}_i$ which do not converge, but satisfy $\lim_k ||\bar{\partial}_J(u_k)|| = 0$. Below we verify that such phenomena cannot happen under some conditions.

Definition 0.2. *Let $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] \subset \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ be embeddings of subsets. $\mathfrak{D}$ is* properly compact *over $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$, if any $\{u_k\}_k \subset \mathfrak{D}$ with $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||\bar{\partial}_J(u_k)|| = 0$ admits a subsequence which converges to some element in $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$.*

Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and consider a bounded Hamiltonian $f : M \to [0, \infty)$. Then we have the functionals $\mathfrak{F}_i : \mathfrak{B}_i \to \hat{\mathfrak{E}}_i$ and the cobordisms:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i \subset \mathfrak{B}_i \times [0, \infty), \quad \partial \mathfrak{C}_i = \mathfrak{M}(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)$$

with respect to the restrictions $f|M_i$ in $3.C$. Let us put:

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{ u : \ (u, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{C}_i \text{ for some } i \} \subset \mathfrak{B}$$

with $\mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda) = \{ u : (u, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{C}_i \}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) = \cup_{0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0} \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)$.

We verify the following:

Theorem 0.4. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an isotropically symmetric, quasi transitive and Kaehler sequence. Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is non empty, regular and one dimensional with respect to a minimal class.*

If the bounded Hamiltonian f is C^2-proper, then $\mathfrak{D}$ is properly compact. In fact there is positive $\lambda_0 > 0$ so that the equalities hold:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) \ = \ \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \times [0, \lambda_0)$$

for all sufficiently large i.

Motivated by theorem 0.4, we introduce a numerical invariant of the cobordism:

$$Cob(f) = \liminf_i \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \{ \ \lambda : \mathfrak{C}_i(\mu) \text{ are non empty and compact for all } 0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \}.$$

Let us introduce an asymptotic growth invariant of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Let $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ be a bounded Hamiltonian and $F_t : M \cong M$ be the corresponding path of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. For any positive $\delta > 0$, let us put the set of sequences:

$$N_\delta(f) = \{ \{l(m)\}_m : \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \sup_{g_{l(m)}} ||d(F_t \circ g_{l(m)})^m - d((F_{l(m)})_t)^m||(M_{l(m)}) \leq \delta m \}$$

where $g_{l(m)} : (M, M_{l(m)}) \cong (M, M_{l(m)})$ run all automorphisms of depth $l(m)$. Notice that the inclusions $N_\delta(f) \subset N_\delta(\mu f)$ always hold for any $\mu \leq 1$, and $N_\delta(f)$ is non empty if f is C^2-proper.

Then we define:

$$\mathrm{As}_\delta(f) \equiv \liminf_m \frac{1}{m} \inf_{\{l(m)\} \in N_\delta(f)} \inf_{g_{l(m)} | M_{l(m)} \equiv 1} ||d(F \circ g_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)}).$$

Notice a trivial inequality $\mathrm{As}_\delta(f) \leq \ \mathrm{As}_{\delta'}(f)$ if $\delta \geq \delta'$.

These two invariants focus on different natures of functions. We induce a new inequality. Let us fix subsets $N_0, N_\infty, U \subset M$ in pre-admissibility and connectedness for f.

Theorem 0.5. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be a simply connected, isotropically symmetric, quasi transitive and Kaehler sequence with finite diameter. Assume that $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is non empty, regular, one dimensional and S^1-freely cobordant to non zero with respect to a minimal class.*

Let $F : M \cong M$ be the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism induced from a bounded Hamiltonian function f which is pre-admissible, C^2-proper and 2-connected.

Then there are constants $C \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$ determined by $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ and (N_0, N_∞, U) so that the inequality holds:

$$C \ \leq \ \ Cob \ (f) \ As_\delta(f).$$

Roughly speaking this estimate saids that if f is more 'complicated' so that $Cob(f)$ becomes smaller, then the norms of the derivatives of the iterations should be bigger.

It would arise two interesting problems for us, where one is to seek for the optimal values of the constants, and the other is to study which class of almost Kaehler sequences satisfy the inequality.

Let us put the growth of the iteration derivatives:

$$\text{Ite}(f) = \liminf_m \frac{1}{m} ||d(F^m)||(M).$$

As a particular case we obtain the uniform estimate:

$$C \ \leq \ \text{Cob}(f) \ \text{Ite}(f).$$

Let Γ be a countable group, and put indices on all elements as $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$. Then by assigning the complex coordinates as $z_i = z_{g_i}$, the left action of Γ on itself induces the free action on $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$, which gives the embedding $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut } \mathbf{CP}^\infty$. As before this embedding is unique up to conjugacy. Such direction of study has been already developed in [G3].

1. Almost Kaehler sequences

1.A Function spaces: In section 1 we quickly review some of the analytic basis introduced in [K4].

For positive $\epsilon > 0$, let $D^{2k}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ be ϵ ball, and put the infinite dimensional disk by $D(\epsilon) \equiv \cup_{k \geq 0} D^{2k}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Let H be the separable Hilbert space obtained by the completion of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ equipped with the standard metric on it, and denote the metric completion by $\bar{D}(\epsilon) \subset H$.

By a neighbourhood of $p \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we mean an open subset $p \in B \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ which contains some disks with center p' at all $p' \in B$.

Let $p \in B \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ be an open subset, and denote its closure by $\bar{B} \subset H$. Let us consider a smooth and bounded function $f : B \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. We will regard the derivatives of f at p as the linear operators:

$$\nabla f : T_p\mathbb{R}^\infty \equiv \cup_{k \geq 0} T_p \mathbb{R}^{2k} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad \nabla^2 f : (T_p\mathbb{R}^\infty)^{\otimes 2} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \text{ etc.}$$

Let us denote the operator norm by $|\nabla^l f|(p)$, if it extends to the bounded linear functional $\nabla^l f : (T_pH)^{\otimes l} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1. *f is of* completely C^k bounded geometry *at $p \in B$ if:*

(1) it extends to a continuous function on some neighbourhood of p in $\bar{B} \subset H$ and

(2) each differential $\nabla^l f : (T_pH)^{\otimes l} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ exists continuously on some neighbourhood of p in $\bar{B}$ for $0 \leq l \leq k$. In particular the operator norms $|\nabla^l f|(p) < \infty$ are finite.

We say f is of completely C^k bounded geometry, if it is the case at any point $p \in B$ satisfying uniformity:

$$||f||C^k(B) \equiv \sup_{p \in B} \Sigma_{0 \leq l \leq k} |\nabla^l f|(p) < \infty.$$

By completely bounded geometry, we mean completely C^∞ bounded geometry.

A pointwise operator D over functions on B is of completely bounded geometry, if D extends to a smooth operator D over functions of completely bounded geometry on $\bar{B}$ so that the following two properties are satisfied:

(1) There is a constant C with:

$$D : C^0(B) \mapsto C^0(B), \quad |Df|(p) \leq C|f|(p) \quad (p \in B).$$

We denote its extended and pointwise operator norm as $|D|(p)$.

(2) For all k, the following norms are all finite:

$$||D||C^k(B) \equiv \sup_{p \in B} \Sigma_{0 \leq l \leq k} |\nabla^l D|(p) < \infty.$$

D gives a *complete isomorphism*, if both D and D^{-1} are of completely of bounded geometry. In particular there are constants $0 < c < c'$ so that the uniform bounds hold for each $p \in B$:

$$c \leq ||D_p|| \leq c'.$$

For pointwisely bilinear forms, one has the parallel notion of *complete nondegeneracy.*

1.B Local charts: Let $(M_0, g_0) \subset (M_1, g_1) \subset \dots$ be embeddings of Riemannian manifolds with $\dim M_i = 2d_i$, which satisfy the compatibility condition:

$$g_{i+1}|M_i = g_i.$$

We will denote such families by $[(M_i, g_i)]$, and ϵ tublar neighbourhood of M_i by $U_\epsilon(M_i) \subset M \equiv \cup_i M_i$. Let $D^{2i}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2i}$ be ϵ balls, and consider the embeddings $D^{2i}(\epsilon) \subset D^{2(i+1)}(\epsilon) \subset \dots \subset D(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Definition 1.2. *The Riemannian family $\{g_i\}_i$ is uniformly bounded, if the following conditions are satisfied. There exists positive $\epsilon > 0$ such that:*

(1) Every point $p \in M_i$ admits a stratified local chart with $\varphi(p)(0) = p$:

$$\varphi(p) : D(\epsilon) \hookrightarrow \cup_{l \geq 0} M_l$$

and $\varphi(p) : D^{2d_j}(\epsilon) \hookrightarrow M_j$ give homeomorphisms onto the images for all $j \geq i$.

(2) With respect to $\varphi(p)$ above, the local expression of Riemannian metrics $\{g_i\}_i$ are uniformly of completely non degenerated and bounded geometry so that the estimates:

$$\sup_{p \in M} \sup_{m \in D(\epsilon)} |\nabla^l(\varphi(p)^* g)|(m) \leq C(l)$$

hold for some constants $C(l) \geq 0$ for all $l \geq 0$.

(3) There is an increasing and proper function $h : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ so that any pairs of points $p, q \in M_i$ satisfy the uniformly bounded distance property:

$$d(p, q) \geq h(d_i(p, q))$$

where d_i and d are the distances on M_i and $M = \cup_i M_i$ respectively.

We say that the stratified local charts as above are *complete local charts*, and such a family $\{\varphi(p)\}$ as a *uniformly bounded covering.*

Let $f : M = \cup_i M_i \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and smooth function. We say that f is of *completely bounded geometry*, if f is the case with respect to the uniformly bounded covering.

1.C Almost Kaehler sequences: Let (M, ω, J) be a symplectic manifold equipped with a compatible almost complex structure so that $g(\quad, \quad) = \omega(\quad, J \quad)$ gives a Riemannian metric on M. Such a manifold is called an *almost Kaehler manifold.*

Let $(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \subset (M_1, \omega_1, J_1) \subset \cdots \subset (M_i, \omega_i, J_i) \subset \ldots$ be infinite embeddings of almost Kaehler manifolds. If one denotes the inclusions by $I(i) : M_i \hookrightarrow M_{i+1}$, then it implies that $\{I(i)\}_i$ gives a family of holomorphic embeddings, $J_{i+1} \circ I(i)_* = I(i)_* \circ J_i$.

Suppose dim $M_k = 2d_k$, and $U_\epsilon(M_i) \subset M \equiv \cup_j M_j$ be ϵ tublar neighbourhoods of M_i. Let:

$$\pi'_k : \cup_i D^{2i}(\epsilon) \mapsto D^{2d_k}(\epsilon)$$

be the standard projections.

Definition 1.3. *An almost Kaehler sequence consists of a family of embeddings by almost Kaehler manifolds:*

$$[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] = (M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \subset (M_1, \omega_1, J_1) \subset \cdots \subset (M_i, \omega_i, J_i) \subset \ldots$$

and a positive $\epsilon > 0$ *so that it admits an* ϵ *uniformly bounded covering* $\{(p, \varphi(p))\}$ *which satisfy the followings:*

(1) Both $\varphi(p)^*(\omega)$ *and and* $\varphi(p)^*(J)$ *are uniformly of completely bounded geometry.*
(2) The induced symplectic form satisfies:

$$\varphi(p)^*(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} \, dw_i \wedge d\bar{w}_i \qquad \text{at } p$$

where $(w_1, \ldots, w_i)$ *are the coordinates on* $D^{2i}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}^i$.
(3) There are families of holomorphic maps:

$$\pi_k : U_\epsilon(M_k) \mapsto M_k$$

which satisfy the following properties:

$$\pi_k | M_k = \ id \ , \quad \pi_k(\varphi(p)(m)) = \varphi(p)(\pi'_k(m)) \qquad \text{for all } m \in U_\epsilon(M_k).$$

Complete local charts which satisfy the properties (1),(2),(3) above, are called ϵ *complete almost Kaehler charts.* Later on, we fix a uniformly bounded covering by ϵ complete almost Kaehler charts.

We say that $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is a *Kaehler sequence*, if it is an almost Kaehler sequence consisted by a uniformly bounded covering by *holomorphic* ϵ complete Kaehler charts $\varphi(p)$ at all points p, where we equip with the standard complex structure on $\cup_i D^{2i}(\epsilon)$.

Definition 1.4. $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ *is* quasi transitive*, if for any* $N > 0$*, there is* $k = k(N)$ *so that for any* $p_0, \ldots, p_{N-1} \in M \equiv \cup_i M_i$*, an automorphism* $A : (M, \omega, J) \cong (M, \omega, J)$ *exists with* $A(p_i) \in M_k$ *for all* $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$.

We have verified that $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$ satisfies quasi-transitivity in [K4].

Example 1.1*:* Let us consider $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$ with $\mathbb{C}^\infty \subset \mathbf{CP}^\infty$. The Fubini-Study form admits the primitive one form on $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ by:

$$\alpha = (1 + |\bar{z}|^2)^{-1} \ \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} \ (y_i dx_i - x_i dy_i)$$

with respect to the inhomogeneous coordinates. α is of completely of bounded geometry over $\mathbb{C}^\infty$.

2. HAMILTONIAN DIFFEOMORPHISMS

2.A Bounded Hamiltonians: Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence. A bounded function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *bounded Hamiltonian*, if it is of completely bounded geometry over $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$.

Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and $\{\varphi(p) : D(\epsilon) \hookrightarrow \cup_i M_i\}_{p \in M}$ be a uniformly bounded covering by ϵ complete almost Kaehler charts.

By pulling back a bounded Hamiltonian as $\varphi(p)^*(f) : D(\epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$, let us regard the restriction of the differential df as one form over $D(\epsilon)$. The Hamiltonian vector field X_f over $D(\epsilon)$ is defined as the unique one which obeys the equality $-df(Y) = \omega(X_f, Y)$ for any vector field Y of completely bounded geometry.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and take a proper bounded Hamiltonian $f : M = \cup_i M_i \to \mathbb{R}$.*

Then there is the parametrized diffeomorphisms as its integral:

$$F_t : M \cong M$$

which preserve the symplectic form.

We call $F = F_1$ the *Hamiltonian diffeomorphism.* Notice that $F_t(U) \subset U$ hold if supp $df \subset U \subset M$.

A *periodic solution* $x : [0, T] \to M$ with $x(0) = x(T)$ satisfies the equation:

$$\dot{x} = X_f(x)$$

and $T \geq 0$ is called *period.*

2.B Symplectic action functional: Let M be a finite dimensional manifold, and take a connected open subset $p_0 \in U$ with a fixed point.

A *cone* over (p_0, U) is a smooth map $u : (-\infty, 0] \times S^1 \to M$ so that $u(-\infty, S^1) = p_0$ and $u(0, S^1) \subset U$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose U is 2-connected. A cone over (p_0, U) canonically defines an element in $\pi_2(M)$.*

Proof: Let $\Omega(M)$ be the based loop space with the base p_0. $u(s, \quad) : S^1 \to M$ gives a path in the free loop space, and by use of the path $u(\quad , 0)$, it is lifted to another path $\tilde{u} : (-\infty, 0] \to \Omega(M)$.

Let us put $u(0, 0) = m \in U$ and choose another path γ from m to p_0 in U. The loop $u(0, \quad) : S^1 \to U$ can be contracted since U is simply connected. So one can obtain an extension $\tilde{u}$ so that $\tilde{u} : (-\infty, 1] \to \Omega(M)$ is the family of loops along $\Psi \equiv u(\quad , 0) \cup \gamma$, and $\tilde{u}(1)$ is the union $\Psi \cup -\Psi$ which is the trivial element. So $\tilde{u}(-\infty) = \tilde{u}(1) \in \Omega(M)$ holds, and $\tilde{u}$ gives an element $\alpha \in \pi_1(\Omega(M)) = \pi_2(M)$.

α is independent of choice of contraction of $u(0, \quad)$ since $\pi_2(U) = 0$ holds. It is also independent of choice of γ since $\pi_1(U) = 1$. This completes the proof.

Let us call the triplet:

$$(\alpha, p_0, U)$$

as the *action functional data*, where $\alpha \in \pi_2(M)$ is the element defined as above from a cone over (p_0, U).

Let (M, ω) be a finite dimensional symplectic manifold, and choose a pre-admissible Hamiltonian $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ which is 2-connected over $p_0 \in U \supset \text{Supp } df$ with $f(p_0) = 0$. Let $F_t : (M, U) \cong (M, U)$ be the Hamiltonian path with $F = F_1$, and consider a fixed point $m \in U$ by F. F_t fixes a neighborhood of p_0 by pre-admissibility.

Let us fix the action functional data (α, p_0, U), and take a cone:

$$u : (-\infty, 0] \times S^1 \to M$$

with $u(-\infty, S^1) = p_0$ and the induced element α such that $u(0, \quad) : S^1 \to M$ is a non trivial periodic orbit of the Hamiltonian vector field X_f with $u(0, 0) = m$.

Take a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ consisted by $u(\quad, 0)$, and consider the loop l consisted by $-\gamma$ and $F \circ \gamma$. Let us consider the disc Δ with $\partial\Delta = l \cup -u(\quad, 0)$ by:

$$\Delta : (-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1] \to M, \quad \Delta(s, t) = F_t(u(s, 0))$$

Then with respect to the data (α, p_0, U), we define the action functional by:

$$\delta(F; m) = \int_u \omega + \int_\Delta \omega.$$

Notice that the homological boundary of $u + \Delta$ is l, and so the equality:

$$\delta(F; m) = \int_l \mu$$

holds by Stokes theorem, where $d\mu = \omega$.

The proofs of the following two lemmas extend the arguments in [P].

Lemma 2.3. *If U is 2-connected, then the action functional is independent of choice of the cones and the Hamiltonian paths with respect to the data (α, p_0, U).*

So it makes sense to denote $\delta(F; m)$ when U is 2-connected, once the data (α, p_0, U) is given.

Proof: **Step 1:** Let us verify that it is independent of choice of the cones.

Let us choose another cone u' such that $u'(0, \quad)$ is a periodic orbit with respect to X_f. The loop consisted by $u(\quad, 0)$ with $u'(\quad, 0)$ can be spanned by a disk D in M, since we have fixed the element $\alpha \in \pi_2(M)$ and so u' can be deformed to u rel $(-\infty, S^1) \cup (0, S^1)$. Then we consider the sphere:

$$S = u \cup \Delta \cup -u' \cup -\Delta' \cup D \cup -F(D).$$

$[S] = 0 \in \pi_2(M)$ vanishes since both Δ' and u' can be deformed to Δ and u respectively, passing through D and $F(D)$.

It follows the equalities:

$$\int_u \omega + \int_\Delta \omega - (\int_{u'} \omega + \int_{\Delta'} \omega) = -\int_D \omega + \int_{F(D)} \omega = 0$$

where the last equality holds since F preserves the symplectic forms.

So $\delta(F;m)$ is independent of choice of the cones with fixed data (α, p_0, U).

Step 2: Let us verify that it is independent of choice of the Hamiltonian paths.

Let us take another Hamiltonian path F'_t with $F'_1 = F$, and consider the loop $F'_t(m)$. There is a map $v : [0,1] \times S^1 \to U$ with $v(0,t) = F_t(m)$ and $v(1,t) = F'_t(m)$, since U is simply connected. Then let us choose another cone u' which is obtained by concatenation of u with v. Clearly the corresponding element is the same as u in $\pi_2(M)$. We may assume the equality $u = u'$ on $(-\infty, -\epsilon] \times S^1$, and $u, u' : [-\epsilon, 0] \times S^1 \to U$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let us put:

$$\Sigma = u \cup \Delta, \ \Sigma' = u' \cup \Delta' \ \subset \ M.$$

$\Sigma \cup -\Sigma'$ consistes of a sphere S. Let us put:

$$K = \{(s,t) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [0,1] : \Delta(s,t) \neq \Delta'(s,t), \}.$$

Then $\Delta(K) \cup \Delta'(K)$ is contained in U, since the support of the differential of the Hamiltonian functions are contained in U.

We use a general fact that for an n dimensional CW pair (A,B) with $n-1$-connected Y, any continuous map $h : B \to Y$ can be extended over A. Let us apply the above fact to the pair $A = K \times [0,1]$ with $B = \partial K \times [0,1] \cup K \times \{0,1\}$ with $n = 3$ and:

$$h \equiv \Delta' | K \times \{0\} \cup \partial K \times [0,1], \ \Delta | K \times \{1\} \to U.$$

This gives a continuous deformation from S to $\Sigma \cup -\Sigma$ which is contractible. So we obtain the equality:

$$\int_\Sigma \omega = \int_{\Sigma'} \omega.$$

This completes the proof.

Let $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ be a pre-admissible Hamiltonian function with the periodic orbit $\{F_t(m)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in U. Then we define the symplectic action:

$$\mathfrak{A}(f,m) = \int_u \omega - \int_0^1 f(F_t(m))dt = \int_u \omega - \int_0^1 f(F_t(m))dt.$$

Let us fix the data $(n\alpha, p_0, U)$ for F^n and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 2.4. *(1)* $\delta(F;m) = \mathfrak{A}(f,m) = \int_u \omega - \int_0^1 f(F_t(m))dt$.

(2) $\delta(F^n;m) = n\delta(F;m)$ *for all* $n = 1, 2, \dots$

(3) Suppose U *is* 2*-connected. If* $\delta(F;m) \neq 0$*, then* $||dF^n||C^0(U)$ *must grow at least linearly with respect to* n*. In particular the cyclic group generated by* F *is infinite.*

Proof: See proposition 2.4.A in [P] for (1).

Let us verify (2). It is enough to see $n = 2$. Let us put:

$$\Delta^2 : (-\infty, 0] \times [0,2] \to M, \quad \Delta^2(s,t) = F_t(u(s,0))$$

and $u^2 \equiv u(s, 2t)$. Δ^2 is just $\Delta \cup F(\Delta)$ where $\Delta : (-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1] \to M$ with $\Delta(s, t) = F_t(u(s, 0))$. In particular:

$$u^2 \cup \Delta^2 = (u \cup \Delta) \cup (u \cup F(\Delta))$$

Since F preserves the symplectic form, the equalities hold:

$$\int_{u \cup F(\Delta)} \omega = \int_{F(u \cup \Delta)} \omega = \int_{u \cup \Delta} \omega.$$

So we obtain the equalities:

$$\delta(F^2, m) = \int_{u^2 \cup \Delta^2} \omega = 2 \int_{u \cup \Delta} \omega = 2\delta(F, m).$$

For (3), $H^2(U : \mathbb{R}) = 0$ by Hurewicz isomorphism theorem. Let μ_0 be a primitive one form of ω with $d\mu_0 = \omega$ over U, and put $C_0 = ||\mu_0||C^0(U) < \infty$.

Let l_n be the loops in M consisted by $-\gamma$ and $F^n(\gamma)$ with $\gamma = u(\quad, 0)$. Each l_n is the union of non trivial loops $l_n^1, \dots, l_n^k$ in U connected by segments, since support of F^n lie in U. In particular the boundary of $\Sigma = \Delta \cup u$ lie in U.

Notice the estimates:

$$\text{length } l_n \leq l(\gamma)(1 + ||dF^n||C^0(U))$$

where $l(\gamma)$ is the length of γ. Then we have the inequality:

$$n|\delta(F; m, m')| = |\int_{\cup_{i=1}^k l_n^i} \mu_0| \leq C_0 \text{ length } l_n \leq C_0 l(\gamma)(1 + ||dF^n||C^0(U)).$$

This completes the proof.

2.C Proof of theorem 0.2**:** Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ be a non constant proper bounded Hamiltonian with $F : M \cong M$. Suppose f is pre-admissible with fixed points $p_0, p_\infty \in M_0 \subset M = \cup_i M_i$.

Let $f_i = f|M_i$ be the restrictions and $F_i : M_i \cong M_i$ be the associated Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Our key lemma is given by the following:

Lemma 2.5. *Assume the conditions in theorem* 0.2.

Then there are $\epsilon > 0$, uniformly bounded positive numbers:

$$C \geq \lambda_i \geq c > 0$$

from both sides, and a family of fixed points $m_i \in U$ with respect to $\tilde{F}_i$ such that the uniform bounds hold from below for all sufficiently large $i > 0$:

$$|\delta(\tilde{F}_i; p_0, m_i)| > \epsilon$$

where $\tilde{F}_i$ are the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with respect to $\lambda_i f_i$.

The proof of the lemma occupies section 3. Before going into the proof, let us verify the main theorem assuming the key lemma 2.5.

Proof of theorem 0.2**:** The proof splits into several steps. Notice that F_i is different from $F|M_i$ in general, since it is Hamiltonian with respect to $f|M_i$, while the image $F(M_i)$ do not necessarily coincide with M_i.

We verify that there is some $\epsilon' > 0$ so that $||d(F \circ g_i)^m||C^0(M_i) \geq m\epsilon'$ hold for any m and $i \geq i_0(m)$, where $g_i|M_i = \text{id}$.

Step 1: Let λ_i be in lemma 2.5, and $\lambda = \lim_i \lambda_i \geq c > 0$ be the positive number, where one takes a subsequence if necessarily. Let F_t and $\tilde{F}_t$ be the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with respect to f and λf respectively. Notice the relation $\tilde{F}_t = F_{\lambda t}$.

By C^2-properness, $\{\tilde{F}_i\}_i$ converges to $\tilde{F}$ in C^1, where $\tilde{F}_i$ are in lemma 2.5.

Step 2: There are fixed points $m_i \in M_i$ with respect to $\tilde{F}_i : M_i \cong M_i$ so that the uniform estimates:

$$|\delta(\tilde{F}_i; p_0, m_i)| > \epsilon$$

hold for all large $i \geq 1$ by lemma 2.5.

Let γ_i be paths between p_0 and m_i in U, and consider the loops l_n^i consisted by γ_i with $(\tilde{F}_i)^n(\gamma_i)$ as in 2.B. Recall that diameter of M is finite and $\pi_1(M_i) = 1$. So one may assume that the lengths of γ_i are uniformly bounded from above by lemma 2.3.

Then as in the proof of lemma 2.4(3), we have the estimates:

$$n\epsilon \leq C_0(1 + ||d(\tilde{F}_i)^n||C^0(M_i).$$

Step 3: Let $g_i : (M, M_i) \cong (M, M_i)$ be any family of automorphisms such that $g_i|M_i$ is the identity, and put $\hat{G}_i = F \circ g_i$ and $G_i = F_i \circ g_i = F_i$. Then for any l_0:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} ||(\hat{G}_i)^{l_0}|M_i - (G_i)^{l_0}||C^1(M_i) = 0$$

hold since f is C^2-proper, where convergence is independent of choice of g_i.

Firstly let us verify theorem 0.2 under the assumption $\lambda_i = 1$. Then there are $p_i \in M_i$ so that the estimates:

$$n\epsilon \leq C_0|d(G_i)^n|(p_i)$$

hold for all $n \geq 0$, since $g_i|M_i$ is the identity.

Suppose $(\hat{G}_i)^{l_0}$ could be the identity, and choose n_0 so that $||d(G_i)^{n_0 l_0}||C^0(M_i) \geq 2$ hold for all large i. Take large $i >> 1$ so that the estimates $||(\hat{G}_i)^{n_0 l_0}|M_i - (G_i)^{n_0 l_0}||C^1(M_i) < 1$ hold. Then we get a contradiction since $(\hat{G}_i)^{n_0 l_0}$ must be the identity.

Step 4: Next let us verify the general case. Let us put $\tilde{G}_i = \tilde{F}_i \circ g_i = \tilde{F}_i$ with g_i in step 3. Then the estimates $n\epsilon \leq C_0|d(\tilde{G}_i)^n|(p_i)$ hold for all $n \geq 0$ as in step 3.

There are families of numbers $0 \leq \mu_n < 1$ and $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n\lambda_i = a_n + \mu_n$. Since the equalities $(\tilde{G}_i)^n \equiv \tilde{G}_i \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{G}_i = (\tilde{G}_i)_n = (G_i)_{\lambda_i n}$ hold, we obtain the estimates for all $n \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n\epsilon &\leq \lambda_i n\epsilon \leq C_0\lambda_i|d(\tilde{G}_i)^n|(p_i) \\ &= C_0\lambda_i|d(G_i)_{\lambda_i n}|(p_i) = C_0\lambda_i|d(G_i)_{\mu_n} \circ d(G_i)^{a_n}|(p_i) \leq C_0'\lambda|d(G_i)^{a_n}|(p_i). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the estimates $a_n\epsilon \leq C_0''|d(G_i)^{a_n}|(p_i)$ for some C_0''. Since $a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we can repeat the same argument as step 3 above.

Step 5: It follows from uniform bounds $\lambda_i \leq C$ from above in lemma 2.5 that $a_{n+1} - a_n$ are uniformly bounded. In particular there is a family $\{l(i)\}_i \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the uniform estimates:

$$i\epsilon \leq C_0''\lambda ||d(F \circ g_{l(i)})^i||C^0(M_{l(i)})$$

hold for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1: (1) In fact uniform bounds $\lambda_i \leq < u, \omega > (\sup f - \inf f)^{-1}$ hold by lemma 3.3. (2) One can choose $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(C_0''\lambda)^{-1}$ for $\{l(i)\}_i \in N_\delta(f)$.

3. MODULI SPACES OF HOLOMORPHIC CURVES

3.A Functional analytic setting: Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and put $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$. We recall basic properties on moduli theory of holomorphic curves into almost Kaehler sequences, which have been studied in [K4].

$\mathbf{CP}^1$ has particular points $0, \infty \in \mathbf{CP}^1$, and let $0 \in D(1) \subset S^2 = \mathbf{CP}^1$ be the hemisphere. Let us fix the following data; (1) a large $l \geq 1$, (2) a non trivial homotopy class $\alpha \in \pi_2(M)$ and (3) two different points $p_0, p_\infty \in M_0 \subset M \equiv \cup_i M_i$.

α is called *minimal*, if both the equality and positivity hold:

$$0 < \inf_{a \in H_2(M:\mathbf{Z})} \{| < \omega, a > | > 0\} = < \omega, \alpha > .$$

Let $L^2_{l+1}(S^2, M_i)$ be the sets of L^2_{l+1} maps from S^2 to M_i, and put the spaces of Sobolev maps:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_i \equiv \mathfrak{B}_i(\alpha) = \{u \in L^2_{l+1}(S^2, M_i) : [u] = \alpha, \\ \int_{D(1)} u^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} < \omega, \alpha >, \quad u(0) = p_0, u(\infty) = p_\infty \in M_0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that there are canonical embeddings $\mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathfrak{B}_{i+1}$ induced from $M_i \subset M_{i+1}$.

Let $E(J)_i \mapsto S^2 \times M_i$ be the vector bundles whose fibers are given by:

$$E(J)_i(z, m) = \{\phi : T_z S^2 \mapsto T_m M_i : \text{ anti complex linear }\}.$$

Then we have the Hilbert bundles over $\mathfrak{B}_i$:

$$\mathfrak{E}_i = L^2_l(\mathfrak{B}_i^*(E(J)_i)) = \cup_{u \in \mathfrak{B}_i} \{u\} \times L^2_l(u^*(E(J)_i)).$$

On these Hilbert manifolds $\mathfrak{B}_i, \mathfrak{E}_i$, there exist free and continuous S^1 actions which are induced from the embedding $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbf{CP}^1$, and are compatible with respect to the embeddings $M_i \hookrightarrow M_{i+1}$.

The non linear *Cauchy-Riemann operator* is defined as the section:

$$\bar{\partial}_i \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{E}_i \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_i), \quad \bar{\partial}_i(u) = Tu + J_i \circ Tu \circ \sqrt{-1}$$

where $\sqrt{-1}$ is the complex conjugation on $S^2 = \mathbf{CP}^1$. If u satisfies $\bar{\partial}_i(u) = 0$, then we say that u is a *holomorphic curve.*

Let us define the moduli space of holomorphic curves by:

$$\mathfrak{M}(M_i, J_i) = \{u \in C^\infty(S^2, M_i) \cap \mathfrak{B}_i(\alpha) : \bar{\partial}_i(u) = 0\}$$

which admits the induced S^1 free action. We say that J_i is *regular* if the linealizations $D\bar{\partial}_J(u) : T_u\mathfrak{B}_i \mapsto (\mathfrak{E}_i)_u$ are onto for any $u \in \mathfrak{M}_i \equiv \mathfrak{M}(M_i, J_i)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (M_i, ω_i, J_i) is regular. Then the moduli space is an S^1 free manifold with dimension:*

$$dim\ \mathfrak{M}(M_i, J_i) = 2 < c_1(T^{1,0}M_i), [u] > -2\ dim\ M_i - 1.$$

If moreover it is minimal, then each $\mathfrak{M}(M_i, J_i)$ is compact.

Definition 3.1. *The moduli space of holomorphic curves over an almost Kaehler sequence $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is given by the union:*

$$\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] = \cup_{i \geq 0}\ \mathfrak{M}(M_i, J_i).$$

We have the fundamental estimates ([K4] lemma 2.2):

Lemma 3.2. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be a minimal almost Kaehler sequence. Then for each $s \geq 0$, there is a constant $c(s) \geq 0$ so that any $u \in \mathfrak{M}([(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)])$ satisfy uniform estimates:*

$$|\nabla^s u|C^0(S^2) \leq c(s).$$

This lemma can be verified by use of the Sacks-Uhlenbeck's estimates. Let $D(b) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the disk of radius $b > 0$.

Sublemma 3.1 (SU)**.** *There are constants $C = C(a)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ determined by $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ so that for any holomorphic disk $u : D(1 + a) \mapsto M = \cup_i M_i$ with $E = \int_{D(1+a)} u^*(\omega) \leq \epsilon$, the estimate holds for all $x \in D(1)$:*

$$|du|^2(x) \leq CE.$$

3.B Hilbert completion: Let us choose an element:

$$u \in \mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathfrak{B} \equiv \cup_{i \geq 0}\mathfrak{B}_i$$

and let $U(u) \subset \mathfrak{B}$ be an open neighbourhood of u in the set of L^2_{l+1} maps from S^2 to $M = \cup_{i \geq 0} M_i$. Locally any element in $U(u)$ is modelled on maps from $\mathbb{R}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2\infty}$. By use of the embedding into Hilbert space $\mathbb{R}^{2\infty} \subset H$, let us take its completion to a Hilbert manifold $\hat{U}(u)$ with respect to a uniformly bounded covering by complete almost Kaehler charts which has been fixed.

The vector bundles of anti holomorphic maps also admit canonical embeddings $E_i \hookrightarrow E_{i+1}$, and let us put $E \equiv \cup_{i \geq 0} E_i$ which is fibered over $S^2 \times M$. By taking completion, one obtains the Hilbert bundle over $\mathfrak{B}$:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{E}} = \ \cup_{u \in \mathfrak{B}}\ \{u\} \times L^2_l(u^*(E(J))).$$

We get the extension of the Cauchy-Riemann operator over maps into the infinite dimensional space as above:

$$\bar{\partial}_J : \hat{U}(u) \to \hat{\mathfrak{E}}$$

for any $u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$. Notice that this is no more of Fredholm.

Let us say that J is *strongly regular*, if the linealizations are onto:

$$D\bar{\partial}_J(u) : T_u\hat{U}(u) \mapsto \hat{\mathfrak{E}}_u$$

for any $u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$.

Suppose $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is a symmetric Kaehler sequence, and fix a minimal element. It follows from theorem 0.3 that if J_i are regular of uniformly finite index, then J is strongly regular of the same index. Moreover if in addition, it is isotropic and $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is one dimensional, then it is compact. These conditions are satisfied for $\mathbf{CP}^\infty$.

3.C Periodic orbit and cobordism: Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and take a pre-admissible Hamiltonian $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ with $f|N_0 \equiv 0$ and $f|N_\infty \equiv \sup f$. There is $\delta > 0$ so that the open neighborhoods N_0, N_∞ both contain δ balls with the centers p_0, p_∞ respectively.

We denote its restrictions by $f_i : M_i \to \mathbb{R}$. Let us recall the construction of the functionals $\mathfrak{F}_i : \mathfrak{B}_i \to \mathfrak{E}_i$ in [HV]. Let us put $W_i = (S^2\backslash\{0, \infty\}) \times M_i \cup \{0\} \times N_0 \cup \{\infty\} \times N_\infty$. Notice that $(z, u(z)) \in W_i$ for $u \in \mathfrak{B}_i$. Let us define the complex antilinear maps:

$$\hat{f}_i(z, m) : T_zS^2 \to T_mM_i \quad (z, m) \in W_i$$

by (1) $\hat{f}_i(0, \quad) = 0$, $\hat{f}_i(\infty, \quad) = 0$, and (2) $\hat{f}_i(z, m)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\nabla f_i(m)$, where we use the identity chart over $\mathbb{C} \subset S^2$. $\hat{f}_i$ are uniquely determined by anti-linearity. Then we define the functionals:

$$\mathfrak{F}_i(u)(z) = \hat{f}_i(z, u(z)).$$

Let us consider the cobordisms:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i = \{\ (\lambda, u) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{B}_i : \bar{\partial}_i(u) + \lambda\mathfrak{F}_i(u) = 0\ \}$$

which contain $\mathfrak{M}(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)$ by embedding $u \to (0, u)$. Let us put $\mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda) = \{\ u \in \mathfrak{B}_i : (\lambda, u) \in \mathfrak{C}_i\ \}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \epsilon) = \cup_{0\leq\lambda<\epsilon}\mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda)$.

There is the biholomorphic isomorphism:

$$\Phi : Z = \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong \mathbf{CP}^1\backslash\{0, \infty\}, \quad (r, t) \to exp(r + 2\pi it)$$

where we equip the standard complex structure on Z. Then any $u \in \mathfrak{B}$ can be regarded as $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \mapsto M$ with $u(-\infty) = p_0$ and $u(\infty) = p_\infty \in M_0$.

Let us put:

$$s_0(u) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} :\ u((-\infty, s) \times S^1) \subset N_0\},\ s_\infty(u) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} :\ u((s, \infty) \times S^1) \subset N_\infty\}$$

with $s(u) \equiv s_\infty(u) - s_0(u) > 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $\mathfrak{M}(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)$ is compact, regular and S^1 freely cobordant to non zero with respect to a minimal class. Then the followings hold:*

(1) $\mathfrak{C}_i$ are non compact, and $\mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda)$ are empty for $\sup f - \inf f\ >\ \lambda^{-1} < \omega_i, u >$.

(2) Non trivial periodic solutions x_i exist with respect to $\lambda_i f_i$ for some $\lambda_i > 0$, where:

$$x_i = \lim_{l\to\infty} u_i^l(s_i^l, \quad)$$

for some divergent sequences $u_i^l \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda_i^l)$ *with respect to* l *such that* $\lambda_i = \lim_l \lambda_i^l$ *and* $\lim_l s(u_i^l) = \infty$ *with* $s_0(u_i^l) \leq s_i^l \leq s_\infty(u_i^l)$.

These are verified in proposition 2.6, 2.7 and page 618 in [HV].

3.D Sacks-Uhlenbeck type estimates: In $3.D$, we fix a minimal element.

We verify the following:

Lemma 3.4. *There is positive* $\epsilon > 0$ *independent of* i *so that for any* $u \in \mathfrak{C}_i$ *the uniform estimates hold:*

$$\int_{(-\infty, s_0(u)]} \omega, \quad \int_{[s_\infty(u), \infty)} \omega \ > \ \epsilon.$$

The proof of lemma 3.4 uses the following:

Proposition 3.1. *There is a constant* $C_0 \geq 0$ *so that for any* $u \in \mathfrak{C}_i$*, uniform bounds:*

$$||du||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \leq C_0 < \infty$$

hold independently of i.

Before going into the proof, let us finish the proof of lemma 2.5 assuming lemma 3.4 and proposition 3.1.

Proof of lemma 2.5**:** Let us verify uniform positivity $\delta(\tilde{F}_i; p_0, m_i) \geq \epsilon$, where $(\tilde{F}_i)_t$ correspond to $\lambda_i f_i$ and $m_i = x_i(0)$ in lemma 3.3.

For $u \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda)$, let us regard it as $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to M_i$, and put:

$$a(s) = \int_{(-\infty, s] \times S^1} u^*(\omega) - \int_0^1 \lambda f_i(u(s,t)) dt.$$

Then $\frac{da}{ds} = \int_{S^1} |J(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \lambda \nabla f_i|^2 dt$ holds, and so $a(s)$ is monotone increasing.

Let $(\tilde{F}_i)_t : M_i \cong M_i$ be the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with respect to $\lambda_i f_i$, and consider u_i^l and x_i in lemma 3.3, where $\{u_i^l(s_i^l, \quad)\}_l$ converge to the periodic orbits $x_i = (\tilde{F}_i)_t(m_i)$ in M_i.

Because the equalities:

$$a(s_0(u_i^l)) = \int_{(-\infty, s_0(u_i^l)]} \omega$$

hold, it follows from monotonicity and lemma 3.4 that uniform positivity hold:

$$\int_{(-\infty, s_i^l] \times S^1} (u_i^l)^*(\omega) - \int_0^1 \lambda_i^l f_i(u_i^l(s_i^l, t)) dt \geq \epsilon.$$

It follows from lemma 3.3 and proposition 3.1 that for a large l_0, one may modify:

$$u_i^{l_0} | (-\infty, s_i^l] \times S^1$$

on small neighborhoods of $\{s_i^l\} \times S^1$ so that they consist of the cones:

$$u_i^{l_0}(s_i^{l_0}, t) = x_i(t) = (\tilde{F}_i)_t(m_i)$$

in $2.B$ with the uniform bounds:

$$\mathfrak{A}(\lambda_i f_i, m_i) = \int_{(-\infty, s_i^{l_0}] \times S^1} (u_i^{l_0})^*(\omega) - \int_0^1 \lambda_i f_i((\tilde{F}_i)_t(m_i))dt \ \geq \ \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\delta(\tilde{F}_i; p_0, m_i) = \mathfrak{A}(\lambda_i f_i, m_i)$ hold by lemma 2.4(1), this verifies uniform positivity.

Next let us verify uniform bounds $C \geq \lambda_i \geq c > 0$ from both sides in lemma 2.5. Uniform bound from above follows by lemma 3.3.

Let us verify uniform lower bound. Suppose contrary and choose a degenerating sequence $\lambda_i \to 0$, by taking a subsequence if necessarily.

Notice $a(\infty) =< \omega, u > + \lambda(\sup f - \inf f)$ which are uniformly bounded. Moreover $a(s)$ are monotone increasing. So it follows that there is a family $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda_i')$ with $s(u_i) \to \infty$, and $x_i = u_i(s_i, \quad)$ satisfy:

$$\int_{S^1} |\frac{dx_i}{dt}|^2 dt \to 0$$

for some $s_0(u_i) \leq s_i \leq s_\infty(u_i)$ as $i \to \infty$. In particular diameters of x_i go to zero.

So one can cut u_i along x_i, cap off the boundary circles by small discs, and obtain two spheres v_i^1 and v_i^2 with $p_0 \in v_i^1$ and $p_\infty \in v_i^2$.

Because $a(s)$ above is monotone increasing and $\lambda_i \to 0$, it follows from lemma 3.4 that both uniform positivities:

$$< v_i^1, \omega >, \ < v_i^2, \omega > \ \geq \ \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

must hold. On the other hand the convergence:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} < v_i^1, \omega > + < v_i^2, \omega > =< u_i, \omega >$$

hold, and the right hand side is minimal. This is a contradiction, and we are done.

This completes the proof of lemma 2.5.

Remark 3.1*:* The proofs below verifies that both constants C_0 in proposition 3.1 and ϵ in lemma 3.4 depend only on $||f||C^2(M)$. Let us fix the data on M:

$$\{p_0, p_\infty, N_0, N_\infty, U\}.$$

Then these estimates hold uniformly with the same constants ϵ and C_0, among all pre-admissible bounded Hamiltonians with bounds $||f||C^2(M) \leq C$ by a constant C.

Proof of proposition 3.1*:* We proceed by contradiction argument. Suppose contrary, and choose a sequence $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i$ with $||du_i||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \to \infty$.

Step 1: By applying lemma 3.3 in [HV] to $X = M_i$, one can find $\epsilon_i \to 0$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ with:

$$|du_i(x_i)|\epsilon_i \to \infty, \quad |du_i(x)| \leq 2|du_i(x_i)| \quad (|x_i - x| \leq \epsilon_i).$$

Let us put:

$$R_i = |du_i(x_i)|\epsilon_i, \quad v_i(x) \equiv u_i(x_i + |du_i(x_i)|^{-1}x).$$

Then v_i satisfy the equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i + J(v_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i + |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(v_i) = 0$$

with $|dv_i(0)| = 1$ and $|dv_i(x)| \leq 2$ for $x \in B_{R_i}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let us choose another sequence $S_i \leq R_i$ with $S_i \to \infty$, so that:

$$\text{vol }(B_{S_i}(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i ||\nabla f_i||_{C^0(M_i)} \to 0$$

hold. Then we have the point-wise estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |dv_i|^2 &= \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i, J \frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i) + \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i, J \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i) \\ &= 2\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i) - \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i, J |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(v_i)) - \omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i, |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(v_i)). \end{aligned}$$

By elliptic regularity, the uniform estimates $||dv_i|| L^2(B_{S_i}(0)) \geq \delta$ hold for some constant $\delta > 0$. Then for sufficiently large $i >> 1$, we have the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{S_i}(0)} |\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i, J |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(v_i))| \\ &\leq ||dv_i|| L^2(B_{S_i}(0)) \text{ vol } (B_{S_i}(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i ||\nabla f_i||_{C^0(M_i)} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{3} ||dv_i|| L^2(B_{S_i}(0)) \leq \frac{1}{3} ||dv_i||^2 L^2(B_{S_i}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

So combining with these, the following estimates must hold:

$$\delta^2 \leq ||dv_i||^2 L^2(B_{S_i}(0)) \leq 6 \int_{B_{S_i}(0)} v_i^*(\omega).$$

Step 2: Let us verify that the integrals $\int_{B_{S_i}(0)} v_i^*(\omega)$ are uniformly bounded from above. In fact the equalities hold:

$$\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i) = |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{ds}(v_i) + |J(v_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i + |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i|^2.$$

For the first term of the right hand side, the integrals hold for each t:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \frac{df_i}{ds}(v_i) ds &= |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i (f_i(v_i(s_1, t)) - f_i(v_i(s_0, t))) \\ &\leq 2 |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i ||f_i|| C^0(M_i). \end{aligned}$$

In particular:

$$|\int_{B_{S_i}(0)} |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \frac{df}{ds}(v_i)| \leq 2S_i |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i ||f_i|| C^0(M_i)$$

are uniformly bounded from above.

Since the integrals $< u_i, \omega > -\lambda_i(\sup f - \inf f) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} |J(u_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i + \lambda_i \nabla f_i|^2$ hold, the right hand side are uniformly bounded. This implies that the integrals:

$$\int_{B_{S_i}(0)} |J(v_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_i + |du_i(x_i)|^{-1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i|^2$$

are also uniformly bounded from above. This verifies the claim.

Remark 3.2*:* One can give another argument by [HV] by use of the basic results of holomorphic curves, if we assume quasi-transitivity on M.

Step 3: L^2 norms $||dv_i||^2L^2(B_{S_i}(0))$ are uniformly bounded from above by step $1, 2$. Let us choose some $0 < \mu_i \to 0$ so that (1) $(\mu_i s_i, \mu_i r_i) = (1.5, 1)$ for some $0 < r_i < s_i \leq S_i$ and (2) $||dv_i||L^2(B_{s_i}(0)\backslash B_{r_i}(0)) \to 0$.

Let us put $v_i' : B_{1.5}(0) \to M_i$ by $v_i'(s,t) = v_i(\mu_i^{-1}s, \mu_i^{-1}t)$. L^2 norms are preserved under the rescaling $||dv_i'||L^2(B_{1.5}(0)\backslash B_1(0)) = ||dv_i||L^2(B_{s_i}(0)\backslash B_{r_i}(0))$. Combining with the Cauchy-Schwartz, $||dv_i'||L^1(B_{1.5}(0)\backslash B_1(0))$ approach to zero. In particular there are some $1 \leq a_i' \leq 1.5$ such that

$$||dv_i'||L^1(S^1(a_i')) \to 0$$

where $S^1(a_i') = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = a_i'\}$. Let us put $a_i = \mu_i^{-1}a_i'$. Then the lengths of $v_i(S^1(a_i))$ go to zero.

So one can cut the disks $v_i(B_{a_i}(0))$ and cap off $v_i(S^1(a_i))$ by another small disks. By this way one obtains spheres w_i from v_i, and similarly one gets another spheres w_i' by capping off the complements $u_i\backslash v_i(B_{a_i}(0))$.

It follows from step 1 that uniform positivity $< w_i, \omega > \geq \ c > 0$ must hold. Because $< u_i, \omega > = < w_i, \omega > + < w_i', \omega >$ are the minimal and positive number,

$$< w_i', \omega > \leq \ 0$$

must be non positive. On the other hand there are decreasing constants $0 < \delta_i \to 0$ such that:

$$< w_i', \omega > \; > \lambda_i(\sup f - \inf f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1\backslash B_{\epsilon_i}(x_i)} |J(u_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_i + \lambda_i \nabla f_i|^2 - \delta_i \geq -\delta_i$$

must hold.

Step 4: The above estimates imply that $< w_i', \omega > = 0$, there could occur at most one bubbling, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^1\backslash B_{\epsilon_i}(x_i)} |J(u_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_i + \lambda_i \nabla f_i|$ go to 0.

In particular $s(u_i) = s_\infty(u_i) - s_0(u_i) \geq c > 0$ must be uniformly bounded from below, since bubbling can occur only at one point. $x_i \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ are contained in one of $[s_\infty(u_i), \infty) \times S^1$ or $(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1$ or $[s_0(u_i), s_\infty(u_i)] \times S^1$.

Let us consider the first case. Then the restrictions $u_i|(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1$ must have uniformly bounded one derivatives.

By the construction, u_i are holomorphic on $(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1$ whose images are contained in $N_0 \subset M$. There is some $\delta > 0$ such that N_0 contains $2\delta > 0$ ball with the center p_0. Then $u_i(-\infty, S^1) = p_0$ and $d(u_i(r_i, y_i), p_0) = \delta > 0$ must hold for some $y_i \in S^1$ and $r_i < s_0(u_i)$.

Let us denote by $D^2(b) \subset S^2$ the disk with the radius b. One may assume $(-\infty, r_i] \times S^1 = D^2(1)\backslash 0 \subset S^2$ by use of translation if necessarily, where we disregard the normalization condition $\int_{D(1)} u^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} < u, \omega >$ in $3.A$. Then let us choose $a_i > 0$ with $(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1 = D^2(1 + a_i)\backslash 0 \subset S^2$.

We claim that $a_i > 0$ are uniformly bounded from below. In fact there are $x_i \in S^1$ with $d(u(s_0(u_i), x_i), p_0) \geq 2\delta$, and the estimate $d(u_i(r_i, x_i), p_0) \leq \delta$ must hold. Thus we have:

$$d(u_i(r_i, x_i), u(s_0(u_i), x_i)) \geq \delta.$$

If $|r_i - s_0(u_i)|$ are too small, then it would contradict to the assumption of uniform bounds $||du||$ on $(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1$. This verifies uniform bounds of a_i from below.

But this would be impossible, since:

$$\int_{(-\infty, r_i] \times S^1} |\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_i|^2 = \int_{(-\infty, r_i] \times S^1} |\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i|^2$$

go to 0, which contradicts to sublemma 3.1. The second case can be considered similarly.

Suppose x_i are contained in $[s_0(u_i), s_\infty(u_i)] \times S^1$. Then at least one of $[s_0(u_i) + \frac{s(u_i)}{2}, s_\infty(u_i)] \times S^1$ or $[s_0(u_i), s_0(u_i) + \frac{s(u_i)}{2}] \times S^1$ must contain x_i for some infinite number of i. In the former case, one can repeat the above argument over $(-\infty, s_0(u_i)] \times S^1$. The rest case can be considered similarly. This completes the proof.

Proof of lemma 3.4**:** By proposition 3.1, uniform bounds:

$$||du||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \quad \leq \quad C_0 < \infty$$

hold. Let us verify that there is positive $\epsilon > 0$ determined by $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ with the uniform estimates:

$$\int_{(-\infty, s_0(u)] \times S^1} u^*(\omega), \quad \int_{[s_\infty(u), \infty) \times S^1} u^*(\omega) \quad \geq \epsilon.$$

We only verify the estimate for the former. The latter follows by the same argument.

Step 1: Let us choose $\delta > 0$ so that 2δ ball $B_{2\delta}(p_0)$ with the center p_0 is contained in N_0. Let us put $s_0'(u) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \ u((-\infty, s) \times S^1) \subset B_\delta(p_0)\}$. For fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the translation as $u'(s, x) \equiv u(s + \alpha, x)$ still satisfies uniformity $||du'||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \leq \ C_0 < \infty$.

By translation, assume $(-\infty, s_0'(u)] \times S^1 = D^2(1) \backslash 0 \subset S^2$ and put $(-\infty, s_0(u)] \times S^1 = D^2(1 + a) \backslash 0 \subset S^2$. It follows that $a > 0$ is uniformly bounded from below, by use of a similar argument as step 4 above with uniform boundedness of $||du||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1)$.

Step 2: Notice that u is holomorphic on $D(1 + a)$, and $a > 0$ are uniformly bounded from below by step 1. Suppose $\int_{D(1+a)} u^*(\omega) < \epsilon$ hold for small $\epsilon > 0$. Then by sublemma 3.1, the uniform estimates of the derivative:

$$|du|(m) \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon}$$

hold on all points $m \in D^2(1)$. This is a contradiction if $\epsilon > 0$ are too small, since the distance $d(p_0, u(s_0'(u), y))$ attains δ at some $y \in S^1$. This completes the proof.

4. Structure of the cobordism

4.A Proper compactness: Here we verify theorem 0.4.

Sublemma 4.1. *Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be a quasi-transitive almost Kaehler sequence, and suppose $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ is compact with respect to a minimal class.*

If $\{u_k\}_k \subset \mathfrak{D}$ *satisfy uniform bounds* $||du_k||C^0(S^2) \leq C$ *with* $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||\bar{\partial}_J(u_k)|| = 0$, *then a subsequence converges to some elements in* $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$.

Proof: It follows from quasi-transitivity and the assumption on the uniform bounds that for any small $\mu > 0$, there is k_0 and a family of automorphisms A_k on M such that the estimates hold for all k:

$$d(\text{ im } A_k(u_k), M_{k_0}) < \mu.$$

Let $\pi : U_\epsilon(M_{k_0}) \to M_{k_0}$ be the holomorphic projections, and consider the compositions $\pi(A_k(u_k)) : S^2 \to M_{k_0}$. Then the Sobolev norms $||\bar{\partial}\pi(A_k(u_k))|| = ||\pi(A_k(\bar{\partial}(u_k)))||$ converge to 0 over M_{k_0}. So there is a holomorphic curve $u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ so that $A_k(u_k)$ are contained in a small neighbourhood of u in $\mathfrak{B}$ for all large k.

The family $\{A_k^{-1}(u)\}_k$ must be contained in $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$, since A_k are automorphisms. In particular u_k themselves must be contained in a small neighbourhood of $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$. Since it is compact, this verifies the claim. This completes the proof.

Proof of theorem 0.4*:* The proof applies analysis of moduli theory over infinite dimensional spaces constructed in [K4].

Remark 4.1*:* λ_0 can be chosen uniformly among all pre-admissible and bounded Hamiltonians with uniform bounds $||f||C^{l+1}(M) \leq C$ by a constant C, where l is the derivative order of the Sobolev spaces in 3.A.

Step 1: We claim that there are $\lambda_0 > 0$ and C so that any $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_0)$ satisfy uniform bounds $||du_i||C^0(S^2) \leq C$.

By proposition 3.1, uniformity $||du_i||C^0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \leq C$ holds. It follows from the argument in the proof of lemma 2.5 that there is positive $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that uniform bounds $s(u_i) = s_\infty(u_i) - s_0(u_i) \leq c$ hold for some constant $c > 0$ for all $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}(\lambda_i)$ with $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda_0$. In fact otherwise one would find $\lambda_i \to 0$ and $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}(\lambda_i)$ with $s(u_i) \to \infty$. It leads us to find non trivial two spheres, which cannot happen.

If both $\{s_0(u_i)\}_i$ and $\{s_\infty(u_i)\}_i$ are uniformly bounded from both sides, then our claim follows.

Suppose $s_0(u_i) \to -\infty$ could hold. Then $s_\infty(u_i) \leq 0$ hold for all large i. Let $a(s)$ be in the proof of lemma 2.5. Because $a(s)$ is monotone increasing, we have the estimates:

$$a(s) \leq a(0) = \frac{1}{2} < u_i, \omega > -\lambda_i(\sup f - \inf f)$$

for all $s_\infty(u_i) \leq s \leq 0$, since $u_i([s_\infty(u_i), \infty) \times S^1)$ are contained in N_∞. Notice that u_i are holomorphic on the regions.

There are some $s_\infty(u_i) \leq s_i \leq 0$ so that the diameters of $u_i(s_i, \quad)$ go to zero, by proposition 3.1. By cutting along them, one obtains two spheres v_i^1 and v_i^2 with $p_0 \in v_i^1$ and $p_\infty \in v_i^2$. Because $a(s)$ is monotone increasing, it follows from lemma 3.4 that uniform positivity $0 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq < v_i^1, \omega >$ must follow for all large i. However this would contradict to the bounds $< v_i^2, \omega > \geq \frac{1}{2} < u_i, \omega > -\delta_i$ where $\delta_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$.

Another case can be considered similarly.

Step 2: We verify that there is a small $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that homeomorphisms hold:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) \;\cong\; \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \times [0, \lambda_0) = \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)] \times [0, \lambda_0)$$

for all sufficiently large i.

Let us take $u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ and consider $\hat{U}(u)$ as above. Let $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ be a bounded Hamiltonian. Then we have the corresponding functional $\hat{\mathfrak{F}} : \hat{U}(u) \to \hat{\mathfrak{E}}$ as in 3.C. Let us put the cobordism:

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{ \ (u', \lambda) \in \hat{U}(u) \times [0, \infty) : \bar{\partial}(u') + \lambda \hat{\mathfrak{F}}(u') = 0 \ ; \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]\}.$$

It follows from theorem 0.3 that $\mathfrak{M}[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ coincides with $\mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0)$, and is strongly regular and compact. So the embedding $\mathfrak{C}(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) \ \supset \ \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \times [0, \lambda_0)$ holds.

One can make a small perturbation of f with $df|M_i = d(f|M_i)$ for all sufficiently large i by C^2-properness, so that $\mathfrak{C}(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0)$ contain $\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0)$ regularly. So we obtain the embeddings:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) \ \supset \ \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \times [0, \lambda_0).$$

If for any small $\lambda_0 > 0$, there could exist some i such that $\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0) = \mathfrak{M}(M_0, \omega_0, J_0) \times [0, \lambda_0)$ were not satisfied, then there should exist divergent sequence $u_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \lambda < \delta_i)$ with $\delta_i \to 0$. In particular $\lim_i ||\bar{\partial}_J(u_i)|| = 0$ must hold, which cannot happen by sublemma 4.1 with step 1. This completes the proof.

4.B New inequality: Let us introduce a new inequality which arises from comparison between structure of cobordism of the moduli spaces and of iteration of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Let $[(M_i, \omega_i, J_i)]$ be an almost Kaehler sequence, and fix the data $\{p_0, p_\infty, N_0, N_\infty, U\}$ on M.

Proof of theorem 0.5*:* **Step 1:** We verify that there are constants C and $\delta > 0$ so that for any f with $||f||C^{l+1}(M) \leq 1$, the inequality $C \leq \ \text{Cob}\ (f) \ \text{As}_\delta(f)$ holds, where l is the derivative order of the Sobolev spaces in 3.A.

Recall a uniform property in remark 3.1. It follows from the proof of lemma 2.5 that there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that for any f as above, the uniform estimate $|\delta(\tilde{F}_i, m_i)| > \epsilon$ holds, where $\tilde{F}_i$ are the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with respect to $\lambda_i f_i$.

It follows from remark 2.1 that for any $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(C\lambda)^{-1}$ and $\{l(m)\}_m \in N_\delta(f)$, the uniform estimates $m\epsilon \leq C\lambda||d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)})$ and $||d(F \circ g_{l(m)})^m - d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)}) \leq \delta m$ hold for all g of depth $l(m)$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\lambda = \lim_i \lambda_i$.

In particular we obtain the estimates $m\epsilon \leq 2C\lambda||d(F \circ g_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)})$, where $\lambda = \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i$, and $g_{l(m)} : (M, M_{l(m)}) \cong (M, M_{l(m)})$ are any automorphisms of depth $l(m)$. So the lower bounds hold:

$$\epsilon(C\lambda)^{-1} \leq \ \text{As}_\delta(f).$$

Theorem 0.4 and remark 4.1 verifies uniform positivity $\ \text{Cob}\ (f) \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, and λ_i are chosen so that the estimates $\lambda_i > \lambda_0$ hold in lemma 3.3.

We claim that one can choose λ_i so that $\lambda_i \to \ \text{Cob}\ (f)$ holds. In fact we have chosen $(u_i, \lambda_i) \in \mathfrak{C}_i(\lambda_i)$ so that the family $\{u_i\}_i$ diverges. By definition of $\ \text{Cob}\ (f)$, the estimate

$\lambda \geq$ Cob (f) holds. If $\lambda >$ Cob (f) could hold, then for any $\lambda > \mu > \mu' >$ Cob (f), there should exist infinite number of i such that $\mathfrak{C}_i(\delta)$ are empty for all $\mu' \leq \delta \leq \mu$. Because $\mathfrak{C}_i$ cannot give zero cobordism to the moduli space of holomorphic curves in lemma 3.3 (proposition 2.7 in [HV]), $\mathfrak{C}_i(0 \leq \delta \leq \mu)$ are also non compact. Then one can choose $0 < \lambda_i \leq \mu$, which gives a contradiction. This verifies the claim.

Combining with these, we obtain the uniform lower bounds:

$$\epsilon C^{-1} \leq \text{ Cob } (f) \text{ As}_\delta(f).$$

Step 2: Let us fix $\delta > 0$ in step 1.

Let us choose some $1 \geq \mu > 0$ with $||\mu f||C^{l+1}(M) \leq 1$. Clearly we have the equality:

$$\text{Cob } (\mu f) = \mu^{-1} \text{ Cob } (f).$$

Let $\tilde{F}$ be the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism with respect to μf. Then we have the equality $\tilde{F}_t = F_{\mu t}$. Let us put $\mu^{-1} m = k_m - \mu_m$ with $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \mu_m < 1$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{m}||d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)}) = \frac{1}{m}||d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})_{\mu^{-1}m}||(M_{l(m)}) = \frac{1}{m}||d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})_{-\mu_m} \circ d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)})$$
$$\geq C_0 \frac{\mu^{-1}}{\mu^{-1}m}||d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) \geq C_0 \frac{\mu^{-1}}{k_m}||d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}).$$

Let us choose some $\{l(m)\}_m \in N_\delta(f)$ and $\{g_{l(m)}\}_m$ so that:

$$\text{As}_\delta(f) = \lim_i \frac{1}{m}||d((F \circ g_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)})$$

holds. Recall $\{l(m)\}_m \in N_\delta(\mu f)$. Then we obtain the estimates:

$$\frac{1}{m}||d(F \circ g_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)})$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{m}||d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)}) - \frac{1}{m}||d(F \circ g_{l(m)})^m - d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)})$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{m}||d(F_{l(m)})^m||(M_{l(m)}) - \delta \geq C_0 \frac{\mu^{-1}}{k_m}(||d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) - \mu\delta k_m C_0^{-1})$$
$$\geq C_0 \frac{\mu^{-1}}{k_m}(||d(\tilde{F} \circ g_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) - ||d(\tilde{F} \circ g_{l(m)})^{k_m} - d(\tilde{F}_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) - \mu\delta k_m C_0^{-1})$$
$$\geq C_0 \frac{\mu^{-1}}{k_m}(||d(\tilde{F} \circ g_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) - \mu\delta k_m C_0') \geq C_0' \frac{\mu^{-1}}{k_m}||d(\tilde{F} \circ g_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)})$$

where the last estimate follows if we choose a smaller but uniformly determined $\delta > 0$, since the estimates $||d(\tilde{F} \circ g_{l(m)})^{k_m}||(M_{l(m)}) \geq C\epsilon k_m$ hold by step 1. By letting $m \to \infty$, we obtain the estimate:

$$\text{As}_\delta(f) \geq C_0' \mu^{-1} \text{ As}_\delta(\mu f).$$

So we obtain the inequality:

$$\text{Cob } (f) \text{ As}_\delta(f) \geq \mu \text{ Cob } (\mu f) C_0' \mu^{-1} \text{ As}_\delta(\mu f) = C_0' \text{ Cob } (\mu f) \text{ As}_\delta(\mu f) \geq C_0''.$$

This completes the proof.

$\mathfrak{C}_i$ are given in 3.C, which are obtained from the restrictions $f|M_i$ and have been used in [HV]. $\mathfrak{C}$ in 4.A is the extension of their construction over infinite dimensional manifolds.

For any bounded Hamiltonian f, let us introduce another invariant:

$$\hat{Cob}(f) = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \{ \ \lambda : \mathfrak{C}(\mu) \text{ are non empty and compact for all } 0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \}.$$

It would be probable that $\hat{Cob}(f)$ will coincide with $Cob(f)$ on some reasonable class of spaces such as the one in theorem 0.4.

REFERENCES

[Al] V.Aleshin, *Finite automata and the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Math. Zametki **11**, pp. 319-328 (1972).

[BG] L.Bartholdi and R.Grigorchuk, *On the spectrum of Hecke type operators related to some fractal groups*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 231 (2000), Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy, 5–45; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2000, no. 4 (231), 1–41.

[Gri1] R.Grigorchuk, *Burnside Problem on Periodic Groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **14**, pp. 41-43 (1980).

[Gri2] R.Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **48-5**, pp. 939-5985 (1984).

[GZ] R.Grigorchuk, A.Zuk, *The lamplighter group as a group generated by a 2-state automaton, and its spectrum*, Geometric Dedicata, **87**, pp. 209-244 (2001).

[GNS] R.Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevich and V. Sushchanskii, *Automata, dynamical systems and groups*, R. Grigorchuk ed, Dynamical systems, auomata, and infinite groups, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **231** pp. 128-203 (2000).

[GH] P.Griffith and J.Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley and Interscience (1978).

[G1] M. Gromov, *Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Inv.Math., **82** pp. 307-347 (1985).

[G2] M.Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, London Math.Soc. LNS **182**, (1993).

[G3] M.Gromov, *Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties*, Journal of European Math. Soc. **1-2** pp./ 109-197 (1999).

[HZ] H.Hofer and E.Zehnder, *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Birkhauser, (1994).

[HV] H.Hofer and C.Viterbo, *The Weinstein conjecture in the presence of holomorphic spheres*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., **45(5)** pp. 583-622 (1992).

[J] J.Jost, *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Springer (1991).

[K1] T.Kato, *Deformations of real rational dynamics in tropical geometry*, GAFA **19** pp. 883-901 (2009).

[K2] T.Kato, *Asymptotically quasiconformal four manifolds*, Journal of Math. Soc. Japan **64-2** pp. 423-487 (2012).

[K3] T.Kato, *Automata in groups and dynamics and induced systems of PDE in tropical geometry*, Journal of Geometric Analysis 87 pages, on line available (2012).

[K4] T.Kato, *Infinite sequences of almost Kaehler manifolds with high symmetry, their perturbations and pseudo holomorphic curves*, arXiv 1205.2938 (2012).

[Kl] W.Klingenberg, *Riemannian geometry*, Walter de Gruyter (1982).

[Ku] F.Kuksin, *Infinite-dimensional symplectic capacities and a squeezing theorem for Hamiltonian PDE's*, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** pp. 531-552 (1995).

[P] L.Polterovich, *Growth of maps, distortion in groups and symplectic geometry*, Invent. Math. **150** pp. 655-686 (2002).

[SU] J.Sacks and V.Uhlenbeck, *The existence of minimal inversions of two spheres*, Ann. Math. **113** pp. 1-24 (1981).

[Se] J-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Math. (2003).

[W] J.Wilson, *On exponential growth and uniformly exponential growth for groups*, Invent. Math. **155-2** pp. 287-303 (2004).

Tsuyoshi Kato
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502
Japan